Agenda for GOHWP Executive Board Meeting
(7/04/14 - 3:00 PM US / 8:00 PM UK / 9:00 PM SA / 8/04/14 - 7:00 AM NZ)

1. **Call to Order**
   a. Team successfully used Vsee, a few glitches but overall fine
2. **Approval of Previous Minutes**
   a. Approved with no further edits
3. **Portfolio Updates** – 5 mins each
   a. **Newsletter**—Tara pulling together content, plan to put something together sooner rather than later, looking for some last-minute content. Ishbel has recently attended a meeting in London that can be featured. Mary has a recent article in SAJP that she can send to Tara. Ishbel also suggested updates regarding the MDGs and SDGs; Mary reports some recent developments that can be highlighted, there has been a lot of activity recently and will send Tara a summary. Mary will also send Tara info about psychology day at UN for inclusion in newsletter.
   b. **Ethics**—Mary circulated code to board, all members signed off, now plan to post on forum with note to members, "we plan to adopt this"; should be done by end of the month
   c. **Student**—working on content for student guide, developing profiles for Garett (US) and Inusah (Ghana) and Judith (*sp?*) (Philippines). Alex has also been planning a student event for SIOP—wants thoughts on who, when, where (see below)
   d. **Web & Membership**—10-12 new members in last month, up from last year; noticed more student members also. See below for discussion of membership costs, etc.
   e. **Social Media**—Ashley started a twitter recently, 25 followers so far. Links back to website and forum to encourage people to subscribe to both. Ashley asks about protocol for getting feedback from board members before posting—Ishbel suggests that getting approval does not seem necessary and Ashley should post autonomously

4. **New Business**
   a. Ishbel/Mary – Full membership meeting at ICAP (and other meetings at SIOP/APA?) (10 mins)
      i. Mary noted that it may be overkill to try and have full membership meetings at both conferences, but that there are few people who will be at both conferences. We should try to have get-togethers at each conference but not full meetings with call in numbers (that will be reserved for ICAP).
      ii. Since meetings are usually at SIOP we should try to do it at ICAP, but technology may pose a daunting problem. Alex says that a formal meeting may be less valuable than a meet & greet, especially if there is a mixed audience. We'll have

to recruit and publicize the event. People will be more likely to come to the informal gathering than the meeting.

iii. Mary notes that there are many sessions at SIOP on Thursday so that may be the best day for the gathering. Alex suggests 6-7 on Thursday night since that is right after the international committee's meeting. Many of us will be there and if we can attend, students will be interested in attending too. All will reach out to our colleagues to make sure they set the time aside.

iv. Alex is currently scouting locations that will be appropriate and will send out invitations once a location is set

v. Would also be good to send out a note summarizing the HWP research at that time; also a summary list of HWP-related sessions at SIOP. Alex notes that we don't have to be exhaustive and can present the list as a sample of HWP work— also suggested that we post something to the forum and ask members if there are other sessions we should know about

vi. Business cards—Ishbel asked if we have any cards left over to distribute—Alex says we only have about 40-50 left and we need more. Alex to give some to Tara to distribute at APS/APA.

vii. Pamphlets--Alex made some last year to highlight presentations, but there isn't an added benefit to reproducing it this year.

viii. ICAP meeting of HWP people: Ishbel asked what the purpose and scope of the meeting should be. Doug noted there will be many new members and international members, and many that don't check the web site, so they will be interested to hear about things like the ethics code, let people know what we have been doing, and that we might identify in advance some things we need feedback about. Ishbel said that the last meeting involved updates from each board member—we could do something similar. With regard to connectivity, we may be able to get a one-month subscription to WebX or similar so we could initiate a call.

ix. At ICAP, team will also plan a social gathering. There is a full day of HWP programming to highlight. Doug says that since the conference is long we should figure out which days people will be there before we lock in a date

x. At APA and APS—if Tara wants to organize a social event it would be an added bonus—Tara will post something on the forum to see if anyone is around/wants to get together, but since there won't be too many people there we won't plan anything big

b. Doug – Member benefits on the website (reduce to one forum?) (20 mins) and

c. Doug – Membership dues with member feedback from survey (20 mins) (combined conversation)

i. Before we close povio, we need to decide what members vs nonmembers should get

ii. We do need funds somehow—dues or donations. Asked on the survey about whether, and how much, people would pay in dues. 55 responses suggested that 19 would pay, 35 would not. Of 19 yesses, ranged from $10-$50, average $25.

iii. First question—how much $ do we actually need? Ishbel says we have $337 currently, we have spent about $150. $115 on web site/domain/hosting, $50 on business cards. Don't anticipate any additional costs for next year. We may have to pay for internet and room at ICAP conference, in the past LFT has fronted for that but we should probably start paying for it now

iv. Alex: to have an online community, we need boundaries; people need to know who is in the community. At the same time we want a big tent approach. Third, we want to fund activities when they arise so we want some discretionary money. Fourth, we want to be inclusive and not exclude people who can't pay. Combining all this—want to keep all info on Forum web site members-only and use an NPR funding model instead of dues. Mary likes the idea of not having dues but asking for money via capital campaigns, thinks a few members would donate a lot to subsidize other members. Would also be logistically easier. Dues would necessitate a sliding scale, which requires management/verification. We also don't have a huge amount of expenditures at the moment. If we ever develop scholarships or grants we can revisit the issue of dues. Ashley notes that some projects areless attractive than others to funders so we will have to package those as general operating expenses. Mary and Alex concur that we don't need to be too specific in the itemized budget we request, no reason to limit ourselves. We also don't want to set the culture or precedent that the dues would imply

v. **Decision**: At least for now, no dues—may revisit in the future if our needs evolve

vi. Question of whether members and nonmembers should all see information; most information on web site should remain public but the forums might be best as members-only, especially if membership comes with no cost

vii. Public forum initially set up so people could come across it accidentally, but since it doesn't get much action we may as well stick with the members-only forum. Especially since we have the blog and twitter. This will remove a lot of confusion

viii. **Decision**: make the forums members-only. Make the teaching resources and minutes members-only. Phase out povio. Contact povio members and move public posts to private forum. Choose a few key resources to make public but keep the rest members-only

ix. Alex also requests that we post pdfs of publications, etc. that members share with each other. We should be looking for ways to facilitate member interaction

x. Doug—we can either set the web site to be password-protected, this would make people have two accounts. The other option is to move the resources

onto the forum so all the members-only material is in one place. Might not be very attractive but it would be more efficient. Ishbel asked about what the specifics look like, Doug says it's kind of like a wiki but one that allows attachments. Ishbel also asked about categorization of pubs by those who submit them. Doug will investigate and report back.

    d. Alex – Student guide and SIOP student group (10 mins)

        i. See above for details about student meeting at SIOP

5. **Other Business**

    a. None